INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Bhubaneshwar regional office

Mine file No: ORI/MN/KJR/MCDR-20/BBS-31/5/21 Mine code: 400RI08031

(i) Name of the Inspecting : SQ10) SHRI G.C. SETHI

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Deputy Controller Mines

(iii) Accompaning mine : Shri A.K.Roul. General Manager(Mines)

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 28/11/2019
(v) Prev.inspection date : 15/07/2019

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name : SILJORA KALIMATI

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/5431/2011

(c) Category : A Other than Fully Mech.

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : ORISSA
District : KEONJHAR

Village : SILJORA KALIMATI

Taluka : BARBIL
Post office : SILJORA

Pin Code

FAX No. : 91 - 6582 - 52442 E-mail : rungtas@satyam.net.in Phone : (06582) 52861,52761,52661,!

(f) Police Station

(g) First opening date : 01/01/1946

(h) Weekly day of rest : MON

2. Address for : SILJORA KALIMATI MANGANESE MINE

correspondance DISTRICT KEONJHAR

ORISSA

3. (a) Lease Number : ORIO172 (b) Lease area : 715.64 (c) Period of lease : 20

(d) Date of Expiry : 31/12/2035

4. Mineral worked : MAGNESITE Associated

MANGANESE ORE Main

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : M/S M.L.Rungta

Rungta House P.O.Chaibasa SINGHBHUM (WEST) JHARKHAND

Phone: (06582) 52861,52761,52661,52561,52461

FAX :91 - 6582 - 52442

Owner : M D RUSTAGI

RUNGTA OFFICE, MAIN ROAD BARBIL KEONJHAR, ORISSA

KEONJHAR ORISSA Phone: 06767275221 FAX : 06767276161

Agent : SHRI D N PARIDA

RUNGTA OFFICE MAIN ROAD BARBIL KEONJHAR KEONJHAR

ORISSA

Phone: 06767275221 FAX : 06767276161

Geologist

Name : RITESH RANJAN, Full Time

Qualification : MSC GEOLOGY
Appointment/ : 16/04/2008

Termination date

Manager

Name : A K ROUL
Qualification : AMIE (MINING)
Appointment/ : 01/03/1995

Termination date

| 6. | Date | of | appı | cova | al | of | Mining |
|----|------|------|------|------|----|-----|--------|
| | Plan | /Scł | neme | οf | Μi | nir | na |

| : | Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 | 16/10/2001 |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|
| | Renewal under rule 22 MCR1960 | 13/08/2003 |
| | Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 | 07/07/2005 |
| | Modif.approved Mining Scheme | 27/01/2010 |
| | Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 | 10/05/2010 |
| | Modif.approved Mining Scheme | 07/01/2011 |
| | Modif.approved Mining Scheme | 20/04/2012 |
| | Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 | 25/03/2015 |
| | MP modif under MCR 1960 | 31/12/2015 |
| | MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016 | 25/07/2018 |
| | FMCP under 23C(1) | 28/09/2018 |
| | | |

PAGE : 3

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|-------------|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | 258 number of bore holes in 2018-19 | During 2018-19, total 258 number of bore holes have been drilled. | No backlog. |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | G2-80.93ha & | G1-391.160ha & G2- 324.497ha. | Nil |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Not specified. | By end of 2018-19, total total cost incurred in drilling was Rs 14460635/- Exploration agency involved in drilling was M/s Geotech Exploration & and M/s V.K.S Mining Services. | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | G1-389.44ha, G2-80.93ha & G-3: 41.58ha. | G1-391.160ha & G2- 324.497ha. | Nil |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | Balance reserves as per Modification of review of mining plan approved on 25.07.2018 was: Iron ore- 0.536 million tonnes under proved (111 & 122) category. Mn ore: 3.836 million tonnes under proved (111 & 122) category and total remaining resources 0.091 million tonnes. | Balance reserve as on 01/04/2019 under Iron ore- 0.536 million tonnes under proved (111 & 122) category. Mn ore: 3.295 million tonnes under proved (111 & 122) category and total remaining resources 0.091 million tonnes. | |

1f General remarks
 of inspecting
 officers on
 geology,
 exploration etc

In 2018-19, total 258 number of bore holes are proposed to be

drilled.

In 2018-19, total 258 number of bore holes were be drilled.

Nil

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|--|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | Block-1, Block-2, Block-3, Block-4, Block-5 & Block-6 for Manganese and Block-6 (Iron ore) | Development is concentrated in five blocks such as Block-1, Block-2, Block-3, Block-4 & Block-5 for Manganese and no mining operations are done in iron ore block. | Development is concentrated in six block i.e . Block-1, Block-2, Block-3, Block-4 & Block-5. |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Separate benches in OB and ore with bench heights of 6m each. | Separate benches in OB and ore zone are formed as envidaged in the approved proposal. | Nil |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | Iron-1:3.09, Manganese- 1:15.59 | Iron-Nil, Manganese- 1:9.52 | Average stripping ratio varries due to irregular nature of ore deposit and for non-operational status of the iron ore block. |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | There is no top soil generation as no topsoil exists in the location proposed under excavation. | No top soil generated during 2018-19. | Nil |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | Mn: 5457441 cu.m Fe: 419800cum | Mn: 611704 cu.m Fe: Nil | No overburden generated from iron ore block due to non-operational status of iron ore portion during 2018-19 |

| 2f | General remarks | Development is | Non operational |
|----|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | of inspecting | concentrated in five | status of iron ore |
| | officers on | block i.e . Block-1, | portion is due to |
| | development of | Block-2, Block-3, Block- | lack of MoEf |
| | pit w.r.t. type | 4 & Block-6 in the | clearance. |
| | of deposit etc | manganese portion only | |
| | | and no mining operations | |
| | | done in iron ore portion | |
| | | during 2018-19. | |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|--|---|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | | The mining operations were confined to six blocks for manganese and the iron ore block is non-operational due to want of forest clearance. | Nil |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | Iron ore- 136000 tonnes, Mn ore-3500005 tonnes | Iron ore-Nil, Mn ore- 64256 tonnes | The manganese production is less due to low market demand for manganese and no iron ore production due to want of forest clearance. |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 10% | 23.39% | Nil |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Iron ore-13600 tonnes, Mn ore-35000 tonnes | From Iron ore-Nil, Mn ore-25381 tonnes | Mineral reject/sub grade of Mn ore generated during 2018-19 is 25381 tonnes. |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | -55% to +45% Fe and 10-25% Mn ore. | | Nil |

| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | Iron ore-13600 tonnes, Mn ore-35000 tonnes | Iron ore-Nil, Mn ore- 25381 tonnes | Mineral reject/sub grade generated during 2018-19 is 25381of greade 10- 25% for Mn. No mineral reject for iron ore was generated during the year 2018-19. |
|----|--|---|--|---|
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | -55% to +45% Fe and 10-25% Mn ore. | Mineral reject/sub grade generated during 2018-19 is 25381of greade 10-25% for Mn. No mineral reject for iron ore was generated during the year 2018-19. | Nil |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Mechanised for iron ore and manual for Mn ore. | Mechanised for iron ore and manual for Mn ore. | Nil |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | None | Not applicable. | Nil |
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | y exlposive as coloumn charge | Drilling and blasting is carried out with DTH drilling of 110mm dia. Blast hole with emulsion/slurry exlposive as coloumn charge and booster alongwith delay detonator. | Nil |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Yes. | Yes, deployed. | Nil |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Bench height- 6m | 6m avarage bench height. | Bench height is suitably matched with the loading excavator. |

| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | An extent of 329.139 ha during approved plan period upto 2019-20. | About 322.948 ha. has been covered under excavation pits. | Nil |
|----|--|--|--|---|
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:15.56 | 1:9.52 | Average stripping ratio varries due to irregular nature of ore deposit . |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Total area proposed under different heads are Pit-322.948 ha, waste dump-62.00 ha, Plant, residential & building, roads-38.474 ha & Mineral storage, plantation & others -92.369 ha. | Area covered under different heads are Pit-322.948 ha, waste dump-62.0 ha, Plant, residential & building, roads-38.474ha & others-92.369 ha. | Nil |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 100914 tonnes & Mn - 292821.18tonnes s 2015-16: Iron- 100914 tonnes & Mn - 292821.18 tonnes 2016-17: Iron- 136005.94 | 2016-17: Iron-778.28 tonnes & Mn -107521.701 tonnes 2017-18: Iron-273.017 tonnes & Mn -118367 tonnes 2018-19:Iron-Nil & Mn - | Production of manganese is less due to low market demand. No production of iron ore was reported due to non-operational status of iron ore area in the lease. |

PAGE: 8

3q General remarks The production of Nil of inspecting managanese is confined to all the six blocks officers on method of mining but no production of iron ore was reported etc. from the iron ore block due to lack of forest clerance issues. DTH drilling of 110mm dia. bast hole with emulsion/ slurry exlposive alongwith delay detonators are practiced for drilling and blasting.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | dumping for | Overburden dump: Dump A & Dump H. Mineral reject dumps: SG-C,SG-B & SG-I. However, the waste generated due to the mining operations are back filled in block B2, B3,B4,B5, & B6 areas of dump-A & H. | Nil |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | No topsoil exists. The waste dumps are Dump-A & Dump-H. | Overbureden generated due to the mining operations are back filled in in block B2, B3,B4,B5, and partially on dump-A & H respectively. | Nil |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | Dump-A & Dump- | There are two active dumps i.e dump-A, dump-H exists within the lease area. | Nil |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Dump-A & Dump- H | There are two active dumps i.e Dump-A & Dump-H | Nil |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | | There are two active dumps i.e Dump-A & Dump-H | Nil |

| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | There is two dead dump-D and Dump-E which is rehabilitated by plantation. | There is two dead dump-D and Dump-E which is rehabilitated by plantation. | Nil |
|------------|--|---|---|-----|
| 4 g | Number of dumps established. | There is two dead dump-D and Dump-E which is rehabilitated by plantation. | There is two dead dump-D and Dump-E which is rehabilitated by plantation. | Nil |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | Praposal for retaining wall envisaged. | Constructed. | Nil |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | Retaining wall: 250m and garland drain: Nil. | Retaining wall: 500m and garland drain: 250m. | Nil |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | None | Nil | Nil |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | alongwith retaining wall | Slope of the dead dump has been stabilised by plantation. The dead dump has been fully rehabilitated by plantation. The retaining wall and garland drain has been cunstructed arround the dump. | Nil |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| SI.NO. Item Propasals Actual Work Remarks | Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|---|--------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
|---|--------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|

| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | 11.47 ha area of partly backfilling of Block-B2, Block-B3 and Block-B4. | About 4.09 ha area has been partly backfilled of Block-B2, Block-B3,B4,B5 and Block-B6. As the manganese production is lagging behind the proposal and no production of iron ore due to lack of forest clearance, the backfilling as proposed could not completed fully. | Violation under Rule 26(1) of MCDR,2017 was pointed out to the party for lagging in the reclamation proposal vide letter No. ORI/MN/KJR/MCDR-20/BBS, dated 17.12.2019. |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | such as partly backfilling of Block-B2, Block-B3,B4,B5 and B6 areas | An extent of 4.09ha area has been partly backfilled of Block-B2, Block-B3,B4,B5 and Block-B6. As the manganese production is lagging behind the proposal and no production of iron ore due to lack of forest clearance, the backfilling as proposed could not completed fully. | Violation under Rule 26(1) of MCDR,2017 was pointed out to the party for lagging in the reclamation proposal vide letter No. ORI/MN/KJR/MCDR-20/BBS, dated 17.12.2019. |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | | No topsoil generated during 2018-19. | Nil |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | such as partly | An extent of 4.09ha area has been partly backfilled of Block-B2, Block-B3,B4,B5 and Block-B6. As the manganese production is lagging behind the proposal and no production of iron ore due to lack of forest clearance, the backfilling as proposed could not completed | Violation under Rule 26(1) of MCDR,2017 was pointed out to the party for lagging in the reclamation proposal vide letter No. ORI/MN/KJR/MCDR-20/BBS, dated 17.12.2019. |

could not completed

fully.

5e General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.

An extent of 4.09ha area Nil has been partly backfilled of Block-B2, Block-B3,B4,B5 and Block-B6. As the manganese production is lagging behind the proposal and no production of iron ore due to lack of forest clearance, the backfilling as proposed could not completed fully.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|--|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | on PMCP has to be submitted to IBM under | Annual report on PMCP for the year 2018-19 has been submitted. | Nil |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | 11.47 ha area of partly backfilling of Block-B2, Block-B3 and Block-B4. | An extent of 4.09ha area has been partly backfilled of Block-B2, Block-B3,B4,B5 and Block-B6. As the manganese production is lagging behind the proposal and no production of iron ore due to lack of forest clearance, the backfilling as proposed could not completed fully. | Violation under Rule 26(1) of MCDR,2017 was pointed out to the party for lagging in the reclamation proposal vide letter No. ORI/MN/KJR/MCDR-20/BBS, dated 17.12.2019. |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | 2.0 ha. | In 2018-19, an extent of 2.0 ha of area is covered under afforestation. | Nil |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 15000 saplings. | 26075 nos. of saplings were planted during 2018-19. | Nil |

| бе | Cumulative no .of plants | Not specified. | 358450 nos of saplings were palnted cumulatively as on 2018-19. | Nil |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | None | An extent of 4.09ha area has been partly backfilled of Block-B2, Block-B3,B4,B5 and Block-B6. As the manganese production is lagging behind the proposal and no production of iron ore due to lack of forest clearance, the backfilling as proposed could not completed fully. | Nil |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Not specified. | Rs 24.13 lakh cost incurred on watch and care during 2018-19 as reported during the inspection. | Nil |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | for the mining blocks Block-B2, Block-B3 and | An extent of 4.09ha area has been partly backfilled of Block-B2, Block-B3,B4,B5 and Block-B6. As the manganese production is lagging behind the proposal and no production of iron ore due to lack of forest clearance, the backfilling as proposed could not completed fully. | Violation under Rule 26(1) of MCDR,2017 was pointed out to the party for lagging in the reclamation proposal vide letter No. ORI/MN/KJR/MCDR-20/BBS, dated 17.12.2019. |

бi Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings

11.47 ha of for the Block-B2, and Block-B6.

An extent of 4.09ha area mined out area has been partly backfilled of Block-B2, mining blocks Block-B3, B4, B5 and Block-B6. As the Block-B3, B4, B5 manganese production is lagging behind the proposal and no production of iron ore due to lack of forest clearance, the backfilling as proposed could not completed fully.

Violation under Rule 26(1) of MCDR, 2017 was pointed out to the party for lagging in the reclamation proposal vide letter No. ORI/MN/KJR/MCDR-20/BBS, dated 17.12.2019.

бj Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati B2, Blockon on backfilled B3,B4,B5 and area

11.47 ha of for the mining blocks Block-B6.

An extent of 4.09ha area mined out area has been partly backfilled of Block-B2, Block-B3, B4, B5 and Block-B6. As the manganese production is lagging behind the proposal and no production of iron ore due to lack of forest clearance, the backfilling as proposed could not completed fully.

Violation under Rule 26(1) of MCDR, 2017 was pointed out to the party for lagging in the reclamation proposal vide letter No. ORI/MN/KJR/MCDR-20/BBS, dated 17.12.2019.

6k Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir

11.47 ha of for the blocks B2, and Block-B6.

An extent of 4.09ha area mined out area has been partly backfilled of Block-B2, mining blocks Block-B3, B4, B5 and Block-B6. As the Block-B3, B4, B5 manganese production is lagging behind the proposal and no production of iron ore due to lack of forest clearance, the backfilling as proposed could not completed fully.

Violation under Rule 26(1) of MCDR, 2017 was pointed out to the party for lagging in the reclamation proposal vide letter No. ORI/MN/KJR/MCDR-20/BBS, dated 17.12.2019.

61 Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means.

Not applicable. Not applicable.

Nil

| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | Not applicable. | Not applicable. | Nil |
|----|---|--|--|-----|
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | Not applicable. | Not applicable. | Nil |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | Not applicable. | Not applicable. | Nil |
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Quarterly monitoring of air, water and noise level etc. are envisaged. | Quarterly monitoring of air, water and noise level are being carried out. | Nil |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | | An extent of 4.09ha area has been partly backfilled of Block-B2, Block-B3,B4,B5 and Block-B6. As the manganese production is lagging behind the proposal and no production of iron ore due to lack of forest clearance, the backfilling as proposed could not completed fully. | Nil |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Gradewise sorting & sizing for manganese ie envisaged | Gradewise sorting & sizing is being practiced. | Nil |

| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | | Manual for Mn ore mechanised for Iron ore. | Nil |
|----|--|---------------------------|--|-----|
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | and +46% dioxide grade | 25% to 46% Mn and +46% dioxide grade of manganese and 45% to 55% Fe and +55% Fe. | Nil |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | None | Not applicable. | Nil |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | Cut off grade of Manganese ore for the mine is +25%. Different grades of Mn. sorted out at mines are +10% to 46% & above . Cut off grade of iron ore is +55%. Different grades of iron ore sorted out at mines are -65% Fe, 62 to 58% fe and 58 to 55% Fe. | Nil |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|---------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | soil | No topsoil generated during 2018-19. | Nil |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Not applicable. | Not applicable. | Nil |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | dumping for overburden and mineral reject | Overbureden generated during mining operations were back filled in block B2, B3,B4,5 & B6 and partially dupmed on dump-A & H. | Nil |

Remarks

| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | Block-B2, | An extent of 4.09 ha area has been partly backfilled in Partly backfilling of Block-B2, Block-B3,B4,B5 & respectively. | Nil |
|------|---|---|---|-----|
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Partly backfilling of Block-B2, Block-B3, Block- B4,Block-B5and Block-B6 envisaged. | An extent of 4.09 ha area has been partly backfilled in Block-B2, Block-B3, Block-B4,Block-B5and Block-B6. | Nil |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | 15000 nos. of saplings. | 26075 nos. saplings were planted in 2018-19. | Nil |
| 8g | Survival rate | Not specified. | The reported survival rate is 80%. | Nil |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | sprinkling is | Water sprinkler are used to control air borne dust in the mine. | Nil |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | Aesthic beauty in and around mined area is well maintained. During the year 2018-19, there are 26075 nos of saplings were planted. As reported cumulative plantation is about 358450 nos saplings done. | Nil |
| Comp | oliance of Rule | 45: | | |

Propasals Actual work

Sl.No. Item

| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | Monthly & Annual returns are being regularly submitted to this office. | Monthly & Annual returns are being regularly submitted to this office. | Nil |
|----|---|--|--|-----|
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Graduate Mining Engineer-1, Diploma mining Engineer-3, Geologist-3, Other-12 | Graduate Mining Engineer-1, Diploma mining Engineer-3, Geologist-3, Other-12 | Nil |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | different heads are Pit-322.948 | The land use furnished in the annual return 2018-19 is found to be in order. | Nil |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | Total 26075 nos. saplings have been planted in 2018-19. | Found to be in order. | Nil |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | | Mineral reject 25381 tonnes, +10-25% Mn. | Nil |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | maintained | Found to be in order. | Nil |

| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | between grade 35% - 46% Mn is Rs 22491.00 | Found to be in order. | Nil |
|----|---|---|---|-----|
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | | Rs 722278.80 crores. | Nil |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | | The deployment status of mining machineries are found to be in order. | Nil |

PAGE: 19

| Details of violations | observed | during | current | inspection | and | compliance | position | of |
|-----------------------|----------|--------|---------|------------|-----|------------|----------|----|
| violation pointed out | | | | | | | | |

| Violation observed | | | Show co | ouse position |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------|----------|-------------------------|
| Rule NO. | Issued on Complia | nce on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on |
| MCDR17 Rule 26(1) | 17/12/2019 | | | |

Date: (SHRI G.C. SETHI)

Indian Bureau of Mines